

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/019,434	TOURNIER-LASSERVE ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637	

**Status of Application:** *Pending*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time:

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## N/A

16.25. 27

N/A

## See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Lee was contacted because, with the exception of claims 16, 25 and 27, the claims are in condition for allowance. Claim 16 depends from a cancelled claim 1, claim 25 depends from claim 16, and claim 27 has a typographical error 1283->T, instead of 1283 C-> T. These deficiencies could be corrected by Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Lee concluded that he needed to contact the client to obtain their approval. No response was received by April 13, 2007.